ANNEXURE-2

-

								VALUATION		FOR FEASIBILITY REP	PORT - RIS														
lo Parameters	Weightage	Scoring Criteria	Lilac		hitects & Designers Remarks		omas & Associates age Remarks	Scorin	Mercui g % age	ry Architects Remarks	Scoring	Sande 9 % age	sh Sahane Remarks	Sopi Scoring		hitects and Planners Remarks	Scoring		h Plus Remarks	Scoring		nstrux O Remarks	Scoring		Consultants Remarks
anisational Set-up Type of Clients (Greenfeild, Redevelopmen Institutional, Government)	^{st,} 15.0%	a. Greenfeild, Redevelopment, Government & Institutional - 4 Points b. Redevelopment only - 3 Points c. No Redevelopment Experience - 2 points d. No Clarity of work done - 0 points	4	15.00%	Experience in Commercial, Residential, Industrial, Health, Government, Sport, Town & Campus Planning	4 15.	Experience in Commercial, Residential, Indust Health, IT, Colo Storages, Government, Spo Relegious, Town Campus Plannin	0 rt, &	0.00%	Difficult to Judge as detailed company profile not provided with submission	0	0.00%	Difficult to Judge as detailed company profile not provided with submission	4	15.00%	Experience in Commercial, Residential, Industrial Health, Government	3	11.25%	Redevelopment and other Architectural work		11.25%	More of Residential and Redevelopment	0	0.00%	Difficult to Judge detailed compa profile not provi with submissio
Overall Years of Experience as a Firm	5.0%	a. More than 30 years - 4 Points b. More than 20 Years - 3 Points c. 10-20 Years - 1 Point d. Details not provided - 0 points	2	2.50%	More than 20 years	4 5.0	0% More than 30 yea	rs 1	1.25%	More than 10 years a per offer letter	s o	0.00%	Details not provided	3	3.75%	More than 20 years	4	5.00%	32 Years as per Offer Letter	1	1.25%	Established in 2010	0	0.00%	Detail not provid
Experience as Architect, PMC or both	5.0%	a. Both Architect & PMC - 4 points b. Only Architect - 3 points c. Only PMC - 2 points	4	5.00%	Both Architect & PMC	4 5.0	0% Both Architect & Pł	лс 4	5.00%	Both Architect & PMC as per offer letter	4	5.00%	Both Architect & PMC as per offer letter	4	5.00%	Both Architect & PMC	4	5.00%	Both Architect & PMC as per offer letter	4	5.00%	Both Architect & PMC	2	2.50%	Looks like PMC ont
Total Score forOrganisational Setup	25%			22.50%		25,0	0%		6.25%			5.00%			23.75%			21.25%			17.50%			2.50%	and the second secon
Projects Completed	15.0%	a. More than 10 Projects - 4 points b. Between 5 to 10 Projects - 2 points c. Less than 5 Projects - 1 Point d. Details not provided - 0 points	4	15.00%	More than 10 projects	4 15.0	0% More than 10 projec	ots O	0.00%	Details not provided	0	0.00%	Details not provided	4	15.00%	More than 10 projects	1	3.75%	Less than 5 projects, varied scope in different projects	2	7.50%	5 to 10 projects	0	0.00%	Details not provide
Experience in Redevelopment (In Navi Mumbai)	15.0%	a.More than S Projects - 4 Points b.Less than S Projects - 2 Points c. No Experience in Navi Mumbai - 1 Point d. Details not provided - 0 points	2	7.50%	4 Projects	2 7.50	% 5 Projects	0	0.00%	Details not provided	0	0.00%	Details not provided	4	15.00%	More than 5 projects	0	0.00%	Two projects but proposed. Hence not counted in Experience	0		List doesn't contain any project in Navi Mumbai	0	0.00%	Details not provider
Experience in Redevelopment Projects of similar nature i.e Residential cum Commercial	25.0%	a. Yes - 4 points b. No - 3 points c. Details not provided - 0 points	4	25.00%	Sankalp CHS, Koperkhairne	4 25.0	1% Sai Udyam, Vashi	0	0.00%	Details not provided	0	0.00%	Details not provided	3	18.75%	Difficult to Judge from detail provided	0	0.00%	In Hand projects but proposed	0	0.00%	Not clear	0	0.00%	Details not provided
Overall Quality of the Submission / Documentation	15.0%	a. Most Detailed Company profile and requirement list - 4 Points b. Detailed Company Profile but missing information - 3 Points c. Details not provided - 0 points	4	15.00%	Most Detailed Company Profile	3 11.25	List of Documents % required for Feasibili not mentioned.	ty 0	0.00%	Enough details to Asses not provided	0	0.00%	Enough details to Asses not provided	3	11.25%	List of Documents required for Feasibility not mentioned.	3	11.25%	List of Documents required for Feasibility not mentioned.	3 1		List of Documents equired for Feasibility not mentioned.	0 (Enough details to Asses not provided
Cost	5.0%	a. Lowest in terms of Cost - 4 b. Second Lowest - 3 c. Above 2nd Lowest - 2 d. Exorbidently High or not clear - 1	3	3.75%	Hundred Rupees Per Member i.e. Rs. 3000/- for Feasibility	4 5.00	Free of Cost to Society, Developer w reimburse later	ill 2	2.50%	LS - 25,000	1	1.25%	7.5% of the total cost to the Society	1	1.25%	7.5% of the total cost to the Society	4	5.00%	Free of Cost to Society, Developer will reimburse later	2 2	2.50%	Rs. 35,000/-	1 0).94% R	s. 1,00,000/-
Total Score for Technical Capability	75%			66.25%		63.75	2		2.50%			1.25%			61.25%			20.00%			1.25%).94%	
Total Score 199% RANKING				88.75%	1	88.75			8,75%			6.25%			85.00%	-		41.25%			8.75%			3.44%	

6